

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_



## CRD TSC Project Evaluation Criteria

***“Safety is a Shared Responsibility” is the message that the Traffic Safety Commission will be championing in 2019. The Commission has identified its priority areas as commercial vehicles, impairment, and pedestrian and cycling safety. Preference will be given to applications that align with one or more of these priority areas.***

### 1. Quality of Project:

- Clarity of project description, method, sample, findings, discussion, limitations

Score: 0=Unacceptable,  
1= Borderline,  
2= Good,  
3= Excellent

### 2. Merit of the Project:

- Validity of conclusions, relevance to field of traffic safety

Score: 0=Unacceptable,  
2= Borderline,  
4= Good,  
6= Excellent

### 3. Demonstrated Project evaluation approaches:

- Measureable outcomes, clearly articulated evaluation plan

Score: 0=Unacceptable,  
1= Borderline,  
2= Good,  
3= Excellent

### 4. CRD Traffic Safety Commission Priority Planning/Practicality:

- Description of how it meets the CRD Traffic Safety Commission objectives and goals

Score: 0=Unacceptable,  
1= Borderline,  
2= Good,  
3= Excellent

### 5. Likelihood of success

- Experience/capacity/qualifications of applicant to carry out the proposed work

Score: 0=Unacceptable,  
1= Borderline,  
2= Good,  
3= Excellent

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

6. Recognition of challenges

- Articulation of possible challenges in carrying out the project and how they would be addressed

Score: 0=Unacceptable,  
1= Borderline,  
2= Good,  
3= Excellent

7. Budget and Matching Funds

- Clear justification for budget and availability of matching funds in relation to overall merit of the project

Score: 0= Unacceptable,  
1= Borderline,  
2= Good,  
3= Excellent

Total points out of 24 \_\_\_\_\_

Fundable range: Total score  $\geq 16$ ; Item 2  $\geq 4$ ; no 0 scores

Note: Must meet fundable range in order to be considered, but does not guarantee funding will be granted. **Funding is conditional upon receipt of the final invoices by November 30 of the year of application.**

Comments (elaborate on the specific strengths and potential weaknesses or areas of concern for the proposed project):